Spin and orbital Hall effects for diffracting optical beams in gradient-index media
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We examine the evolution of paraxial beams carrying intrinsic spin and orbital angular momenta (AM) in gradient-index media. A parabolic-type equation is derived which describes the beam diffraction in curvilinear coordinates accompanying the central ray. The center of gravity of the beam experiences transverse AM-dependent deflections—the spin and orbital Hall effects. The spin Hall effect generates a transverse translation of the beam as a whole, in precise agreement with recent geometrical optics predictions. At the same time, the orbital Hall effect is significantly affected by the diffraction in the inhomogeneous medium and is accompanied by changes in the intrinsic orbital AM and deformations of the beam.

DOI: 10.1103/PhysRevA.79.011807 PACS number(s): 42.25.Bs, 42.15.–i, 42.25.Ja, 42.50.Tx

I. INTRODUCTION

Spin-dependent transverse transport—the spin Hall effect (SHE)—of classical waves and quantum particles is currently attracting growing attention in condensed-matter [1], high-energy [2], and optical [3–8] physics. This effect appears under bending of the wave trajectory in an external potential and is closely related to such fundamental phenomena as the Berry phase, conservation of the total angular momentum (AM) of the wave, and spin-orbit interaction.

The optical SHE deals with evolution of Gaussian-type wave beams bearing intrinsic spin AM. A generalization of this effect for higher-order Laguerre-Gaussian-type beams with phase singularities (vortices) has been put forward recently [9–11]. As such beams carry well-defined intrinsic orbital AM [12], an orbital Hall effect (OHE) appears under the bending of their trajectories.

Extensive studies over the past several years mostly considered the semiclassical trajectory equations tracing evolution of the center of gravity of a wave beam rather than the propagation of real extended beams. At the same time, the typical transverse shift of the beam’s center of gravity due to the SHE or OHE is proportional to the wavelength and is rather small as compared to the characteristic scale of the beam deformations in an inhomogeneous medium. Therefore, it is important to give a picture of the evolution of realistic beams in a gradient-index medium, which includes SHE, OHE, and the diffraction processes.

Below we provide such a description of paraxial optical beams carrying spin and orbital AM and evolving in a smooth gradient-index medium. Although we consider Maxwell equations, our analysis can readily be extended to quantum wave equations describing evolution of quantum particles in external potentials. In particular, the OHE arises from the Laplace operator in curvilinear coordinates and is universal for any beams with vortices.

II. MAXWELL EQUATIONS IN THE RAY-ACCOMPANYING COORDINATE FRAME

Maxwell equations for the monochromatic electric field E in a gradient-index dielectric medium read

\[(k_0^2\nabla^2 + \epsilon)E - k_0^2 \nabla (\nabla \cdot E) = 0,\]

(1)

where \(k_0 = \omega/c\) (\(\omega\) is the wave frequency) and \(\epsilon = \epsilon(r)\) is the dielectric constant of the medium. Classical geometrical optics (GO) shows that in the short-wavelength limit the wave propagates as a classical point particle moving along the ray trajectory \(r_c = r_c(s)\) given by [13]

\[r_c = t, \quad \dot{t} = \frac{\nabla E_c}{2\epsilon_c}.\]

(2)

Here the overdot stands for the derivative with respect to the parameter \(s\), which is the trajectory arclength, \(t\) is the unit vector tangent to the trajectory, \(\nabla E_c = \nabla r_c - \dot{t}(t \cdot \nabla)\) is the gradient in the plane orthogonal to the ray, and the subscript \(c\) means that the function is taken on the trajectory, i.e., \(\nabla E_c = (\nabla E)|_{r=r_c}\). Equations (2) define the central reference ray and realistic beams evolve in the vicinity of it.

The wave-beam propagation is described using the paraxial approximation in the vicinity of the GO ray (2). This implies the smallness of the two parameters

\[\mu_1 = \frac{\lambda}{w} \ll 1 \quad \text{and} \quad \mu_2 = \frac{w}{L} \ll 1,\]

(3)

where \(\lambda\) is the wavelength, \(w\) is the characteristic beamwidth, and \(L \sim |\nabla E/E|^{-1}\) is the characteristic scale of the medium inhomogeneity. We aim to describe the evolution of a paraxial beam keeping the terms up to the \(\mu^3\) order with respect to the combined parameter \(\mu = \max(\mu_1, \mu_2)\) in the Maxwell equations. Previously, this problem has been solved with an accuracy of \(\mu^2\) [14], i.e., in the lowest-order approximation that describes diffraction but does not account for the Hall effects.

In the vicinity of the GO ray, we introduce a ray-accompanying coordinate frame \((\xi_1, \xi_2, \xi_3)\) attached to the unit vectors \((e_1, e_2, t)\). These vectors evolve along the ray: \(t = t(s), e_i = e_i(s), i = 1, 2\) (see Fig. 1). To make the \((\xi_1, \xi_2, \xi_3)\) frame orthogonal, \((e_1, e_2)\) must obey the parallel transport equation along the ray [14,15]:
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FIG. 1. (Color online) Geometry of the wave propagation along a curved geometrical-optics ray. Depicted are a ray-accompanying coordinate frame attached to the unit vectors \(\mathbf{e}_1, \mathbf{e}_2, \mathbf{t}\), direction of the inhomogeneity bending the ray, \(\nabla \Delta \mathbf{e}\), and directions of the Hall effects orthogonal both to \(\mathbf{t}\) and \(\mathbf{t}\) (the large double arrow).

The Lamé coefficients of the coordinates \((\xi_1, \xi_2, s)\) are equal to [14,15]

\[
h_1 = h_2 = 1, \quad h_3 = h = 1 - \frac{\nabla \cdot \mathbf{e}_c}{2e_c} \cdot \hat{\mathbf{e}},
\]

where \(\hat{\mathbf{e}}\) is the radius vector in the \((\xi_1, \xi_2)\) plane.

The electromagnetic wave is near-transverse in the ray coordinates:

\[
\mathbf{E} = \mathbf{E}_\perp + \mathbf{e}_t \mathbf{e}_t = \mathbf{E}_0 \mathbf{e}_t, \quad |\mathbf{E}| \sim \mu |\mathbf{E}|.
\]

From the equation \(\mathbf{n} \cdot (\mathbf{e} \mathbf{E}) = 0\), stemming from Eq. (1), it follows that in the lowest-order approximation in \(\mu\),

\[
\nabla \cdot \mathbf{E} = \mathbf{n} \cdot \frac{\mathbf{e}_c}{e_c} \cdot \mathbf{E}_\perp \quad \text{and} \quad \mathbf{E}_t = i k^2 \nabla \perp \cdot \mathbf{E}_\perp,
\]

where \(k = k_0 \sqrt{\varepsilon_c}\) is the central wave number.

Using Eq. (5) and the first of Eqs. (7), the Maxwell equation (1) in the \((\xi_1, \xi_2, s)\) coordinates takes the form

\[
k_0^2 \left[ \frac{1}{h} \frac{\partial}{\partial s} \left( \frac{1}{h} \frac{\partial \mathbf{E}}{\partial s} \right) + \frac{1}{h} \frac{\partial}{\partial s} \left( h \frac{\partial \mathbf{E}}{\partial \xi_1} \right) \right] + \mathbf{e} \mathbf{E} + k_0^2 \nabla \perp \cdot \frac{\mathbf{e}_c}{e_c} \cdot \mathbf{E}_\perp = 0.
\]

Equation (8) can be projected onto the plane \((\xi_1, \xi_2)\) orthogonal to the ray. In so doing, we notice that [16]

\[
\left( \frac{\partial \mathbf{E}}{\partial s} \right)_\perp = \left( \frac{\partial \mathbf{E}}{\partial s} \right)_\parallel + \mathbf{E}_t, \quad \mathbf{E}_t = i (\nabla \perp \cdot \mathbf{E}_\perp) \frac{\mathbf{e}_c}{2 e_c} \frac{\mathbf{e}_c}{e_c}.
\]

where we used Eqs. (2), (6), and (7). This yields the wave equation for the transverse electric field \(\mathbf{E}_\perp\):

\[
k_0^2 \left[ \frac{1}{h} \frac{\partial}{\partial s} \left( \frac{1}{h} \frac{\partial \mathbf{E}_\perp}{\partial s} \right) + \frac{1}{h} \frac{\partial}{\partial s} \left( h \frac{\partial \mathbf{E}_\perp}{\partial \xi_1} \right) \right] + \mathbf{e} \mathbf{E}_\perp + k_0^2 \nabla \perp \cdot \frac{\mathbf{e}_c}{e_c} \cdot \nabla \perp \cdot \mathbf{E}_\perp = 0.
\]

As we will see, the last term in Eq. (10), which is of the order of \(\mu^3\), describes the SHE of light. This term originates from the combination of the polarization term \(\nabla \perp (\nabla \cdot \mathbf{E})\) and the Coriolis term \(2i k \mathbf{E}_t \mathbf{t} [16]\).
while Eqs. 3–6,8 without any other polarization-dependent distortions in the transverse direction, the SHE produces a perfect translation of the whole beam in the transverse direction, without any other polarization-dependent distor- tions (see Fig. 2).

The OHE is more intricate and is described by the imaginary term in Eq. (14). To show this, let us first consider an unperturbed beam carrying a well-defined intrinsic orbital AM. Its field contains an optical vortex, which is described by the structure [12]

\[ W_l \simeq [\xi_l + i \text{sgn}(l)\exp(i\varphi)] = \rho_l^{\sigma l} \exp(i\varphi), \]

where \( l = 0, \pm 1, \pm 2, \ldots \) is the vorticity, characterizing the value of intrinsic orbital AM per photon, whereas \((\rho, \varphi)\) are the polar coordinates in the \( \xi \) plane. It is easy to see that small imaginary shift along, say, the \( \xi_1 \) axis, \( \xi_1 \rightarrow \xi_1 - i\chi, \) deforms the intensity distribution in the vortex along the orthogonal \( \xi_2 \) axis:

\[ |W_l|^2 \simeq [(\xi_1^2 + [\text{sgn}(l)\varphi])^2] = \rho_l^{\sigma l} \left( 1 - \frac{2l\chi^2}{\rho^2} \right). \]

From this equation it follows that the nodal point \( W_l=0 \) is shifted along \( \xi_2 \) by the distance \( \text{sgn}(l)\chi \), while the center of gravity of the vortex is shifted along the \( \xi_2 \) axis by the distance \( -l\chi \), i.e., in the opposite direction (see Fig. 2 and Ref. [11]). Taking this into account, one can derive from Eq. (14) the differential equation describing the shift of the center of gravity of the vortex (16):

\[ \frac{\partial \text{sgn}(l)}{\partial t} = \frac{\sigma + l}{k_c}(i \times t). \]

where we substituted \( i \times \varepsilon_c/2e_c = t \) from Eq. (2). Equation (17) represents a correction to the ray equations (2) determining motion of the center of gravity of a wave carrying well-defined spin and orbital AM. It is in agreement with the geometrical-optics predictions of the SHE [3–6,8] and OHE [9], which are directly related to the conservation of the total AM in the problem [5,9].

However, the \( l \)-dependent term in Eq. (17) is valid only as long as one can neglect perturbations in the beam shape caused by the diffraction in a gradient-index medium. In the lowest-order approximation, the diffraction-induced deformations are described by Eq. (15) with the right-hand-side terms neglected [14]. Typically, the beam acquires elliptical deformations at distances comparable with the characteristic inhomogeneity scale [19]. These deformations do not affect the SHE, but they do affect the OHE, because elliptical de- formations of a vortex beam dramatically change the intrinsic orbital AM carried by the beam [10] and the shift of the center of gravity of the vortex. In contrast to spin AM, the intrinsic orbital AM of the beam is not conserved upon the diffraction in a gradient-index medium. Indeed, the operator of the orbital AM, \( \hat{L} \simeq -i\hat{\varepsilon} \times \hat{\nabla} \), does not commute with the operator in the large parentheses in the left-hand side of Eq. (15).

Equation (15) cannot be solved analytically in the generic case even with the neglected right-hand side. Therefore, it is impossible to determine analytically the OHE shift of a diffracting beam in a gradient-index medium. However, Eq. (15) can be integrated numerically in each particular problem. Then, according to Eq. (14), the OHE shift and deformation of the beam can be taken into account by introducing an imaginary shift \( \xi \rightarrow \xi - ik_c^2t \) ds at each step ds. It should be noticed that the diffraction of the vortex beam also depends on the absolute value of the vortex charge, \(|l|\), but, in contrast to the OHE, Eq. (15) is independent of the sign of the vortex, \( \text{sgn}(l) \).

FIG. 2. (Color online) Schematic picture of the SHE and OHE transverse deformations of the beam with respect to the classical GO ray, Eq. (2). Shown are the cases of circularly polarized Gaussian beams with \( \sigma = \pm 1 \) and \( l = 0 \) (upper panels) and of vortex Laguerre-Gaussian beams with \( l = \pm 1 \) and \( \sigma = 0 \) (lower panels). The intensity distributions are plotted in arbitrary units using transformation (13) with some \( \delta \sigma \alpha \times t + it \), Eq. (14).

\[ 2ik_c \frac{\partial \tilde{W}}{\partial s} + \frac{1}{2} \left( \frac{\partial \tilde{W}}{\partial r} \right)^2 e_c - \frac{3}{4k_c} (\xi \cdot \nabla \xi)^2 e_c = \frac{1}{6} k_c^2 (\xi \cdot \nabla \xi)^2 e_c \tilde{W} \]

\[ = -2ik_c (\xi \cdot \nabla \xi) e_c \left( \xi \cdot \frac{\partial \tilde{W}}{\partial s} - ik_c (\xi \cdot \nabla \xi) e_c \tilde{W} \right) \]

\[ - \frac{1}{6} k_c^2 (\xi \cdot \nabla \xi)^2 e_c \tilde{W}. \]
To conclude, we have derived a parabolic-type equation which describes propagation and diffraction of paraxial beams in a gradient-index medium and accounts for SHE and OHE. Equations (13) and (14) enable one to separate the Hall effects, which lift the degeneracy of states with opposite helicities $\sigma = \pm 1$ and vorticities $l = \pm |l|$, and the diffraction effects described by Eq. (15). While the SHE turns out to be diffraction independent, the OHE is crucially affected by the beam deformations upon the diffraction in a gradient medium. Due to this, calculations of the OHE require numerical solution of the diffraction equation (15) in each particular problem.
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[16] Differentiation of the wave field in the moving frame $(e_1, e_2, t)$ produces additional terms which can be associated with the Coriolis effect: $E = E_i \dot{t} + E_e e_1 + E_e e_2$. Indeed, the $(e_1, e_2, t)$ frame rotates with the instant angular velocity $\Omega = \dot{t} \times \hat{t}$ with respect to $\mathbf{s}$. The corresponding Coriolis term can be written as $\mathbf{\Omega} \times E = -(\mathbf{E} \cdot \hat{t}) \dot{t} + E_e e_1 + E_e e_2$, where we used Eq. (4).
[18] Similarly to [16], the term $(\partial / \partial \mathbf{s}) \cdot \hat{\mathbf{g}}$ in the Laplace operator can be associated with a Coriolis effect, because it is caused by derivatives of the frame parameters. Thus, both the SHE and OHE can be regarded as manifestations of the Coriolis effect under the bending of the wave trajectory.